Cameron called the meeting to order at 5:31 PM, and motioned for prior meeting minutes to be approved, but was postponed due to presentation timing.

**Student Health and Counseling Services (SHCS)**

Adelle Anthenson and Susan Rosen presented for SHCS and began with the past years accomplishments. Such accomplishments include increasing their psychiatrist FTE by creating a new funding stream, increase space for mental health, distributed $25k to students in grants, new psychiatrist at Mission Bay, and the SHIP premium is predicted to stay under 10% so they are able to build a reserve with this. Between Parnassus and Mission Bay, 17.5% of students utilize mental health services, which is the second highest among UC (UCLA is first).

Peter asked if SHCS expects a change in visits due to Mission Bay opening, and if services are reciprocated at other UC’s. Adelle responded by informing the Committee there is an agreement among all UC’s allowing all UC students to receive mental health care at any UC.

SHCS started billing students’ insurance for primary care visits in September 2014, and are doing this to generate revenue. SHCS is billing $30 during the 2014-15 year and will increase by $5 each year after. UCSF is the first UC to bill non-SHIP insurance, and only collect what they receive. SHCS does not bill students the remaining amount, and will never bill Kaiser because they will not pay. During this first year, SHCS is trying to balance resources going into billing and revenue coming out of it.

Greg asked if those with Kaiser, and other insurances that don’t reimburse, how that lost revenue is made up? Adelle said it is a program additive, and during the pilot year they are not making it up. Greg then asked if SHIP fees are higher because of the lost revenue and Adelle said they are not basing their fees on this, and it is not impactful to the program.

SHCS continued covering their future plans by informing the Committee the EMR system is expiring, and they will need to evaluate options. The Regents want to be able to compare all UC students’ health information, and need a system-wide tool to do so. The Regents have also approved a fee increase to support student mental health needs. A patient satisfaction tool is launching this week, and will show in
next year’s presentation. SHCS is hiring a Sexual Assault Advocate and will hold a “Sexual Assault Awareness Week”.

Polina asked if there is another name to call the Sexual Assault Advocate because the verbiage is confusing. Adelle said no because UCOP decided the people who are in this position would be called a Sexual Assault Advocate across all UC’s. She informed the Committee it is political and has been brought up at other campuses too.

Niki asked if there is a reason for the $30 starting fee, and mentioned it costs more outside. SHCS responded it is a gap to make up over time. They do not want to collect more than necessary, and want to provide adequate services and not make a profit.

Greg asked if SHCS is charging each student that visits them the same fees per student and same fee for their reason to visit. SHCS said no, there is a mix of other UC health fees and each UC has a different financial picture.

Office of Career and Professional Development (OCPD)

Naledia Saul gave an in-depth overview of the OCPD proposal. To address the Committee’s question of what OCPD would do with a funding cut, Naledia said they would eliminate the vendor fairs which occur at both Mission Bay and Parnassus in June and October, and would redirect staff time to what students need. If OCPD’s budget increased, they would like to open a student writing center, like UCLA’s.

Nima asked if alum is allowed to come back for career counseling, and Naledia responded with a yes. She then explained that alum are allowed up to four free visits after graduation because they don’t feel alum should be punished for focusing on their studies and not having enough time to visit OCPD. During an alum visit to OCPD, they typically go over how to utilize resources, etiquette, and how to have a successful interaction.

Flora asked if they plan on working with programs to offer free tutorials, such as Adobe Illustrator, because it is hard to get reimbursement from the PI. Naledia responded with “maybe” and went on to mention any cost is a barrier to students using resources and shouldn’t happen. Naledia also mentioned she is looking to see if there is a way to reverse the charges to students, and has exchanged emails regarding this. Flora then mentioned providing better professional skills would be more enticing. Christina mentioned food being provided would help get students to attend. Naledia closed her presentation by letting the Committee know she is trying to figure out barriers students have and how to address them.

Student Life

Jennifer Rosko presented for Student Life, and provided an overview of the proposal. Prior accomplishments include the successful integration of the former two student groups (Associated Students of UCSF, and the Graduate Students Association) to one, which is now known as the Graduate and Professional Student Association. Student Life managed over 170 RCO’s last year, with over 1,690 event requests and 200 funding requests. Future plans for RCO’s OrgSync program is to integrate UCSF’s room reservation system (25Live), in summer 2015. Synapse is working to improve their business process by decreasing their print production from weekly to bi-weekly, re-organizing their work flow to
involve students, and trying to feature RCO’s. They have also conducted a survey on student readership pertaining to the paper. Synapse’s future plan is to improve their website and offer student blogs.

Kevin asked if the survey has been given and if it is included. Jennifer said there was a survey and they had just finished comparing it to the 2011 survey, which asked if students would read the paper if it was only online, and at the time, students said no. Now it is a wash. Synapse is looking into going online and at website enhancements. Jennifer then offered to send the Committee the survey results after the meeting. The survey received a 10% response from the student body, which is about the same for elections.

Future plans for Student Life include improving orientation, partnering with schools and graduate divisions to enhance communication and programming for Mission Bay and Parnassus. Student Life will watch activity at Mission Bay and Parnassus to allocate resources fairly and serve equally, but that doesn’t mean the same. Student Life is only asking for funding to cover staff salaries, and is not requesting additional funding.

Flora mentioned students in basic life science aren’t the best writers, then asked if there is a way to add expertise people to review? A Synapse representative answered “yes” and added there are people available to help craft an argument and help with formatting and editing. Flora then mentioned that editing is very helpful for writers, but seniors are asked to do this and it is overwhelming. One of the Synapse representatives mentioned they would get in contact with “OCPD’s person in writing” and will pass the information back to Jennifer. The other Synapse representative said they plan to host student workshops, and that Synapse has helped her improve her writing skills.

**Graduate and Professional Student Association (GPSA)**

Matthew Cummings and Cameron Walsh presented for GPSA. Advantages of the newly formed student government are establishing school specific student governments for each discipline, coordination between student leaders, easier funding of RCO’s and events, organized representation to external organizations, easier for all student governments to communicate with each other due to new structure. GPSA is not requesting additional funding.

If GPSA received a decrease in funding, University events will suffer, such as Winter Formal, and they would be unable to provide new events that fit current student needs. If they receive an increase in funding, GPSA would be able to fund more events, RCO’s, and in general. There are currently two GPSA meetings held at Mission Bay, and they are requesting feedback on how to incorporate more events at Mission Bay as well as what events should happen there. While GPSA anticipates increased usage at Mission Bay, they need feedback from students.

Polina mentioned that LGBT recently requested funding for food, and asked if organizations have merit to promote students vs. campus. Jennifer said organizations have to apply for an event, provide an attendance sheet, and an evaluation process. Cameron then said GPSA is not funding organizations, they are funding events. Flora then asked how much funding was distributed in the fall and if it is the same in the spring. Jennifer then answered $15k was funded last year. Matt closed the presentation with letting the Committee know with Student Life’s presence at Mission Bay, they will also have a presence and can receive feedback.
Prior meeting minutes were approved by the Committee.

Meeting adjourned at 7:28 PM.