### Table: Updated 2008 WASC Criteria for Review Organized by School/Graduate Division

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School of Dentistry</th>
<th>School of Medicine</th>
<th>School of Nursing</th>
<th>School of Pharmacy</th>
<th>Graduate Division</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **EXTENT AND SUCCESS OF ACCREDITATION PROCESS**  
CFR: 1.2, 1.9, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.7, 2.8, 2.9, 4.6, 4.7, 4.8 | **MEPN** CA Board of Registered Nursing (BRN): In follow-up to the November 2003 Board of Registered Nursing (BRN) two-day on campus site visit their final recommendations included 1.) increasing the geriatric qualification for faculty teaching a course that included gerontology content and 2.) Contracts with clinical agencies needed greater delineation offering assurances that the learning environment was adequate for students and faculty. The BRN evaluators identified the program to be a sound successful. The BRN will perform an interim visit for MEPN in Spring, 2009; full approval visit Spring 2013. There were no recommendations from the Spring 2009 interim visit. | **Master’s (Nurse Practitioner & Midwifery Approval visits)** by CA Board of Registered Nursing: Previous one: full review, Spring 2000; 2005 review cancelled. Interim visit Nov. 10 & 12, 2008; Next one full approval visit: Spring 2010
**Recommendations from 2000:** No positive feedback. Areas of Improvement: Focus on viewing program as ONE Master’s program with one Nurse Practitioner program, rather than 10 NP programs. **Recommendations from Spring 2010:** Develop a mechanism for public review of evaluation data or benchmarks; add a learning objective on standardized procedures to a minimum of one course in the curriculum. BRN re-approved the NP program in May, 2010. **CCNE (Commission on Collegiate Nursing Education) Accreditation of entire Master’s Program:** Previous visits –full review Spring 2000; interim report 2005; | **The Doctor of Pharmacy program has been reaccredited through June 30, 2014 by the Accreditation Council for Pharmacy Education (ACPE).** Overall, the feedback from the evaluation team about the School’s program was extremely positive. Its faculty and staff were recognized for their effectiveness as teachers, their record of scholarly activity, their high degree of professional competence, and their outstanding service commitment. The team recognized that the School has placed a strong emphasis on the development of leadership and professionalism in the students, and commended the School for developing and sustaining an environment that supports student success, facilitates professional development, and encourages student-faculty interaction in the classroom, in clinical settings, and in extracurricular programs.” **However, the team also noted a situation that continues to be the School’s primary concern -- underfunding of its PharmD program. It was clear to ACPE that the School has been required to finance its own success in order compensate for California funding cuts Since 2000, student fees have quadrupled, grant support has increased and entrepreneurial opportunities through external contracts have been increasingly pursued. Although the Chancellor and UCSF Ph.D. programs do not have a formal accreditation process similar to that of the professional degree programs. New master’s degrees are now accredited by WASC and UCSF has had its first M.S. recently accredited (Global Health). All Ph.D. and master’s programs are evaluated every five to seven years through the Academic Program Review process conducted by the Academic Senate Graduate Council and the Graduate Division Dean. Each program is reviewed by a panel of external experts in the specific discipline and a formal report is produced. Accreditation of UCSF graduate programs was reaffirmed by WASC in July 1993. | **The predoctoral program of the UCSF School of Dentistry was site visited by the American Dental Association Commission on Dental Accreditation and received full renewal of accreditation for the maximum length of time permitted, seven years. The School and all of its specialty programs are in full compliance with accreditation requirements. The letter to Chancellor Bishop dated August 4, 2005 stated that the Commission “adopted resolutions to grant the dental education program and the advanced specialty education programs in dental public health, endodontics, orthodontics, and dentofacial orthopedics, pediatric dentistry, periodontics and Prosthodontics the accreditation status of ‘approval without reporting requirements.’ ...The next site visit for the programs is scheduled for 2012.” Subsequently a new specialty program in oral and maxillofacial pathology was developed in the School of Dentistry. The Commission sent an additional letter to Chancellor Bishop dated August 3, 2007 stating the it had “adopted a resolution granting the educational program the accreditation classification of ‘initial accreditation.’” |
next visit: Spring 2010.

Key findings – Multiple strong positive feedbacks:

1. One of the top programs in the country, assessed by Chancellor and Vice-Chancellor.
2. Very productive faculty – research dissemination and practice.
3. Highly qualified Dean.
4. Adequate numbers of faculty for student ratios.
5. SON receives largest percent of state funding of the 4 professional schools.
6. High quality MEPN students.
7. Terminal objectives for MS program clearly written and provided to students in various ways.
8. MS specialty curricula are individualized for Adv Practice competencies and students' professional goals.
9. Climate is one of "family" after students are admitted to a program of study.
10. Evaluation tools are comprehensive and address areas that provide info for program improvement.

Areas of improvement:

1. Documents and publications don't state objectives of the MEPN program.
2. Web page not current.
3. Course syllabi inconsistently outlined.
4. Some required texts are greater than 5 y.o.
6. Scores on specialty certification examinations are not consistently available.

February, 2010 CCNE site visit: Oral presentation at the end of the visit yielded no recommendations. Final decision due October, 2010.

**Doctoral Program Review:** Previous one: Jan 2001; Next one: May 2008. Key positive findings from 2001 include:

1. The program successfully meets the main objective to "prepare scholars who will generate and transmit knowledge fundamental to the discipline of nursing and to nursing practice;"
2. Outstanding faculty mentors who are active researchers, with outstanding breadth and depth of course offering in research methods;
3. The Advanced nursing seminars offered through the Centers/T32 grants are exemplars for linking faculty expertise to

Campus have been supportive of the School's efforts to increase its funding to a level realistically representative of the funds necessary to mount and maintain a clinical-based teaching program (such as the curriculum in medicine and dentistry), they have been unable to change the University's 11 to 1 student-to-faculty funding formula for the School of Pharmacy. Although the School has been working diligently to change this situation, it has depleted alternatives that address this problem.
Areas of study to advance knowledge base of the discipline and provide future scientists with in-depth specialty knowledge; (4) Despite the size of the program, students feel able to communicate effective with advisors as needed. Areas of improvement: (1) Encourage and increase interdisciplinary, cross-campus, and other collaborations for nursing students and faculty in research, teaching, and service; (2) If housing and other financial challenges are the primary reasons for failures to enroll or complete, then efforts to support students financially are paramount (e.g., fundraising for housing, encouraging writing of individual NRSAs and T32s from institutes other than nursing, and faculty support for students for at least 25% so they qualify for tuition and fee waivers); (3) Develop a creative plan to reach a larger and more diverse applicant pool and diverse faculty; (4) Monitor flexibility with the new curriculum, which has more "required" courses. 

May, 2009 PhD Program Review: Recommendations: 1) Institute an administrative tracking system to track attrition data; 2) Clarify our succession plan, in recognition of the projected retirement of senior faculty; and, 3) Enhance the diversity of thought and perspective by recruiting faculty from sources other than graduates of the program and UC system.
## EVALUATE TEACHING AND MEASUREMENT OF ACHIEVEMENT THROUGH PUBLISHED EDUCATIONAL OBJECTIVES

### CFR: 1.2, 2.4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School of Dentistry</th>
<th>School of Medicine</th>
<th>School of Nursing</th>
<th>School of Pharmacy</th>
<th>Graduate Division</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The School of Dentistry centers its predoctoral clinical curriculum for both the four-year dental curriculum and the two-year international dentist program on a set of sixteen faculty-developed statements of competency for the beginning general dentist. These statements were approved by vote of the faculty through its representative body, the Faculty Council, in February 2003, and revised to include a broader application for professionalism in 2006. In December 2009 the faculty approved the UCSF global learning outcomes of Knowledge and Professionalism. The competency document is listed below: Clinical Education at the UCSF School of Dentistry Dental Classes and International Dental Classes Adopted by Faculty Council February 6, 2003 Modified and approved April 14, 2006 Modified and approved December 18, 2009 The clinical dental program of the UCSF School of Dentistry is dedicated to the delivery of comprehensive care to the many patients presenting for treatment at the Student Dental Practice on Parnassus Avenue and its satellite clinics. Clinical courses complement the didactic curriculum throughout the four years of education, and provide</td>
<td>The School of Medicine curriculum addresses the competencies identified by the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (<a href="http://www.acgme.org/outcome/comppFull.asp">http://www.acgme.org/outcome/comppFull.asp</a>). This adoption was approved by the Committee for Curriculum and Educational Policy in April 2008 realigning the previous five UCSF School of Medicine competencies to the six Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education competencies. These competencies are specified on the School of Medicine Website <a href="http://www.medschool.ucsf.edu/curriculum/outcome_objs.aspx">http://www.medschool.ucsf.edu/curriculum/outcome_objs.aspx</a>. The competencies and their component elements are: Medical knowledge Graduates will:</td>
<td>The School of Nursing curriculum addresses separate competency areas for each program of study: Masters’ Entry Program in Nursing (MEPN); Master’s Program; and the doctoral program (PhD). MEPN Program - Experiences and learning during the MEPN program enable the student to accomplish the following goals by the end of the Masters Entry Program in Nursing year: 1. Acquire knowledge, clinical judgment, and perspective necessary for nursing practice that spans the health-illness continuum and that focuses on adaptive and developmental needs of human beings. This includes the ability to engage in the following processes: a. Assess the significance of a wide range of factors (physiological, social, personal, cultural, psychological, etc.) and their interrelationships in such a way as to identify and define common nursing problems. b. Assess availability, accessibility, and relevance of resources for individuals, family, and community problem resolution. c. Formulate a plan for helping the individual, family, community or professional mobilize and use resources appropriate to the particular problem. d. Implement the formulated plan or modification</td>
<td>The School of Pharmacy at the University of California, San Francisco is dedicated to improving human health worldwide and advancing scientific discovery. It educates PharmD students to be leaders and effective team members in health care and to be lifelong experts in the safe and effective use of medicines. All graduates are expected to demonstrate mastery of the following competencies: * Design and evaluate therapeutic regimens to optimize drug use. * Design and implement strategies that influence prescriber and patient behavior to achieve optimal outcomes. * Apply pharmacokinetic and pharmacologic parameters to prevent, manage, or resolve drug-related problems. * Acquire and apply patient-specific clinical and laboratory data to support therapeutic decisions. * Teach appropriate drug use, the medication use process, self care, and principles of preventative health to people or groups from diverse backgrounds and cultures and other health professionals. * Understand and participate in ADR reporting, management of quality control, and security systems. * Document, evaluate, and manage adverse drug reactions and medication errors. * Apply pharmacoeconomic and formulary management principles to achieve cost effective outcomes for</td>
<td>Achievement of competency for establishment of a particular master’s or doctoral discipline is evaluated through a rigorous proposal review process. This review is conducted by the Graduate Council, the Academic Senate, the UC Coordinating Committee of Graduate Affairs, and the UC Office of the President. The expected measures of achievement are competitively described and justified within the proposal, which become the published educational objectives for the program. Any proposed changes to these objectives must be reviewed and approved by the Graduate Dean and the Graduate Council. With regard to individual Master’s or PhD graduates, competencies are determined in several ways. Students are required to pass all core courses and rotations, with an overall GPA of 3.0. Competencies are also assessed by examinations in didactic courses, by discipline-dependent rotations, by a qualifying examination usually taken by the end of their 2nd year, and by the preparation and defense of a body of original research, and by the dissertation defense.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
patient care experiences for a range of dental needs from simple to advanced in complexity. The goal of the clinical program is to produce competent new graduate dentists who have developed a satisfactory level of professional demeanor, patient management skills, diagnostic and technical excellence, and the ability to assess outcomes of care for child, adult, and geriatric patients.

The faculty have defined and approved 17 competencies that each student must demonstrate in order to graduate. These statements represent broad levels of academic knowledge and clinical achievement, measured by specific faculty evaluations and written and clinical examinations at various times during the academic program. These fundamental competencies, in the mind of the faculty, prepare the graduate for success with licensing examinations and the practice of general dentistry.

**UCSF School of Dentistry Clinical Competency Statements**

The UCSF School of Dentistry graduate is competent to:

1. Demonstrate knowledge of course material.
2. Demonstrate ethical and professional behavior in interactions with the UCSF community, including students, staff, faculty, and patients.
3. Follow universal infection control guidelines in all clinical procedures.
4. Evaluate medical status understanding of the pathophysiology of human disease at molecular, cellular, systems, and whole organism levels
   - Demonstrate an understanding of how physical, psychological, sociological, cultural, and environmental processes contribute to the etiology, pathogenesis, and manifestations of human health and disease
   - Demonstrate an understanding of the natural history of illness and strategies for promoting health and preventing illness

**Patient care**

Graduates will:
- Demonstrate confidence and comfort with the primary provider role and the provision of longitudinal care
- Gather complete and focused histories in an organized fashion, appropriate to the clinical situation and patient language ability
- Conduct relevant, complete and focused physical examinations
- Document encounters efficiently and concisely
- Manage and prioritize patient care tasks for a group of patients
- Anticipate patients' needs, participate in discharge planning, and create individualized disease management and/or prevention plans including thereof.
- Evaluate the results of interventions in relation to immediate and long-term consequences and modify future related interventions as necessary.
- Demonstrate skills necessary for the professional practice of nursing
  - Progressive mastery of the knowledge, skills, and critical thinking needed by the profession;
  - Demonstrate commitment to a nursing perspective; that is, internalization of the values, traditions, and obligations of the professional; and
  - Identification with and commitment to the profession's function in the larger society.

**Master’s Program – All MS graduates will have:**
1. Knowledge and skills in providing care that promotes health and prevents illness;
2. Knowledge concerning current and projected health care systems and the economic, political, and philosophical base;
3. Knowledge and skills to enable them to manage client care needs across the health/illness spectrum. The majority of graduates will be eligible for nurse practitioner recognition in CA. Selected specialities will continue to prepare individuals for other direct and indirect care roles;
4. Knowledge and skills necessary to coordinate client care needs across institutional boundaries. This may

There are additional specialized competencies expected of graduates of the Health Services and Policy Research, and the Pharmaceutical Sciences curricular pathways, while graduates of the Pharmaceutical Care pathway achieve a deeper and more developed understanding of the core competencies.

Student achievement of these
### Professionalism
Graduates will:
- Demonstrate commitment to excellence and personal/professional development, through ongoing self-directed learning and self-reflection
- Show insight into their own personal and professional development
- Be sensitive and responsive

### Interpersonal and Communication skills
Graduates will:
- Establish a collaborative and constructive doctor-patient relationship with patients
- Effectively and empathically discuss serious, sensitive, or difficult topics with patients
- Elicit and begin to address patients' needs and preferences and incorporate them into the management plan
- Share relevant, understandable information with diverse patients
- Work with families and/or caregivers to negotiate patients' care
- Present information in organized logical fashion appropriate for the clinical situation, including assessment and plan

### Doctoral Program – Upon completion of the PhD program, students will show evidence of having achieved the following educational objectives:

1. Demonstrate a command of the literature pertinent to a selected field of nursing science;
2. Formulate research questions that evolve from a theoretical perspective and contribute to nursing science;
3. Demonstrate breadth of knowledge regarding a variety of research methods and expertise in at least one method;

### Knowledge and skills to be as a generalist, a specialist, health policy specialist or administrator. Coordination of care must be done in partnership with client and lay care giver;

5. Knowledge concerning health care issues for ethnically and culturally diverse populations as the basis for providing care that ranges from culturally sensitive to culturally competent;

6. Knowledge required to become proactive in the health care system. This will necessitate knowledge of the legal, legislative, and regulatory issues for advanced practice nursing, consumer rights, systems management, and change theory;

7. Knowledge and skills to be able to participate in the ethical decision-making process;

8. Theoretical knowledge and some experience in role development as an advanced practice clinician, consultant, educator, administrator, researcher and coordinator.

### Competencies are determined by examinations in didactic courses, by a cumulative examination at the end of Fall quarter in their 3rd Year, and by mid-term and final assessments by preceptors during their clinical rotations. Students are required to pass all core courses and rotations, and must have an overall GPA of no less than 2.0 to graduate.
12. Diagnose periodontal disease and provide systematic evaluation, non-surgical treatment, and referral as necessary.

13. Diagnose malocclusions and provide monitoring, treatment, and referral as necessary.

14. Diagnose complete and partial edentulism and provide fixed or removable prostheses, and referral as necessary.

15. Diagnose the indications for dentoalveolar surgery and provide treatment and referral as necessary.

16. Provide appropriate level of pain and anxiety control in comprehensive dental care.

17. Assess the outcomes of comprehensive dental care in the student dental practice.

Outcomes are assessed in every course. Each competency statement is defined by a series of competency examinations that stretch throughout the curriculum and are integral parts of the School of Dentistry courses. Students are required to pass all courses and their success is monitored quarterly through Student Status Committees made up of the course directors. In addition, the course directors provide an annual report verifying the success of students on the competency examinations to the office of the Associate Dean for Education.

Practice based learning and improvement
Graduates will:
- Use information technology to access online medical

Competencies/successful attainment of objectives are assessed using a variety of different methods, including, comprehensive exam; thesis, qualifying exam, and dissertation defense. The three curricular oversight committees report to the full faculty and the Associate Dean, Academic Programs.

Students are expected to maintain a minimum 3.0 GPA in the MS and doctoral programs. Students must pass core classes in each program with a minimum of a "B". Student progression monitored by Associate Dean, Academic Programs; Asst Dean Academic Services & Director Student & Curricular Affairs.
information, manage information, and assimilate evidence from scientific studies
- Appraise evidence from scientific studies related to individual patients’ health, and apply knowledge of study design and statistical methods to the appraisal of clinical studies
- Understand basic epidemiologic terms for describing disease patterns, and use knowledge of disease patterns to assess the value of diagnostic tests based on patients’ risk of disease
- Facilitate learning of colleagues and the health care team
- Understand the value of systematically evaluating one’s own performance and practice
- Analyze one’s own academic performance and develop individualized plans for improvement

### Systems based practice
Graduates will:
- Identify different types of medical practice and delivery systems, and navigate within different health care systems and teams
- Understand the health care system and recognize ways to assess and improve health care and reduce medical errors, and apply to a specific clinical scenario
- Understand basic principles of health care finance, how
methods and costs affect health care delivery, and methods and incentives for controlling costs

- Identify methods for evaluating cost-effectiveness of care, and apply a method to a clinical experience or setting
- Advocate for quality patient care

Competencies are assessed progressively using a variety of different evaluation methods appropriate to each competency. The four curricular oversight committees report to the Committee for Curriculum and Educational Policy certifying the competencies of our students. An annual report of the success of students on the competency progression is given to the Associate Dean for Curricular Affairs.
## EXTENT TO WHICH LEARNING OUTCOMES ARE MEASURED

**CFR: 2.5, 2.6**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School of Dentistry</th>
<th>School of Medicine</th>
<th>School of Nursing</th>
<th>School of Pharmacy</th>
<th>Graduate Division</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Learning outcomes are measured in every course and include the use of traditional paper-and-pencil examinations, and laboratory and clinical demonstrations of skills. In addition, students demonstrate higher levels of knowledge through OSCE-type (Objective Simulated Clinical Evaluation) examinations and self-assessment of work primarily in the laboratory and clinical settings. Using a variety of assessment strategies permits the faculty to evaluate student knowledge and skills broadly. The national dental accrediting body uses the term competency but these are truly learning outcomes. Faculty have developed a Curriculum Map of the competencies, specifying where they are introduced, developed, and measured in the courses. Specific measurements are linked to each competency statement (learning outcome) and they are included in each course. Faculty verify the successful demonstration of the learning outcomes to the Office of the Associate Dean for Education. This verification is included in an annual outcomes assessment report to the Dean, and presented to the faculty. Faculty Council and its committees review the structure of the curriculum based on these learning outcomes. Students also have the opportunity to evaluate their courses using an anonymous on-line system, and to review their educational experience upon graduation and respond with their opinions regarding the adequacy of learning outcomes.</td>
<td>Learning outcomes are measured in every course and include the use of traditional paper-and-pencil examinations, and laboratory and clinical demonstrations of skills. Using a variety of assessment strategies permits the faculty to evaluate student knowledge and skills more broadly. Our Director of Student Assessment oversees the development and enhancements to these various evaluations. Each student must meet expectations for the competency evaluation to progress to the next level of the curriculum. Status of student performance is monitored through our Academic Standards Committee. The hallmark evaluations of student medical knowledge are the United States Medical Licensure Examination Step 1 (at the end of the eighteen month essential core curriculum) and Step 2 Clinical Knowledge (at the end of the clerkship year). For patient care and patient communication skills students must pass an Objective Structured Clinical Examination at the end of their first 18 months of education and a Clinical Performance Examination at the end of their third year. This particular examination is a standardized patient performance examination given by all eight California medical schools. It assesses skills in history taking, physical examination, physician patient interaction, and information sharing.</td>
<td>Learning outcomes with respect to each student are measured in every course and include the use of traditional paper-and-pencil examinations and laboratory and clinical demonstrations of skills. Using a variety of assessment strategies permits the faculty to evaluate student knowledge and skills more broadly. Additional measures of individual student achievement are the Comprehensive Examination and enhancements to clinical rotations, and the midpoint and final evaluations in each clinical rotation. In addition, success on written national boards (NAPLEX) and California pharmacy law (CPJE) are monitored for success. These external benchmarks indicate the extent to which graduates possess the knowledge and skills deemed appropriate by the community for the competent graduating pharmacist. In addition to assessing individual student outcomes we do programmatic assessment, which takes several forms. Students are asked to evaluate every didactic course and instructor using an anonymous on-line system, and to provide written evaluations of their clinical rotations as well as their preceptors. After their first clinical rotation, they are asked to provide comments on their preparedness for it, and what might be done to better prepare them. The Senior Survey asks them to review their educational experience upon graduation and respond with their opinions regarding the adequacy of training with respect to a list of topics considered essential elements of the curriculum.</td>
<td>Learning outcomes with respect to each student are measured in every course and include the use of traditional paper-and-pencil examinations and laboratory demonstrations of skills. Learning outcomes are also measured after each laboratory rotation, and by the comprehensive examination and the qualifying examination. Individual graduate programs use several different and rigorous means of course evaluation and learning outcomes. For example, one graduate program uses three types of evaluations after each course. First a faculty member not in the course meets with the students as a group and gets their assessments of strengths and weaknesses; this is written up as an essay. Secondly, a questionnaire is distributed to each preceptor to describe the student's background and what he or she considered strengths and weaknesses. Thirdly, each student gives an evaluation of each faculty participant on a 1 to 5 scale.</td>
<td>Learning outcomes with respect to each student are measured in every course and include the use of traditional paper-and-pencil examinations and laboratory demonstrations of skills. Learning outcomes are also measured after each laboratory rotation, and by the comprehensive examination and the qualifying examination. The hallmark evaluations of student medical knowledge are the United States Medical Licensure Examination Step 1 (at the end of the eighteen month essential core curriculum) and Step 2 Clinical Knowledge (at the end of the clerkship year). For patient care and patient communication skills students must pass an Objective Structured Clinical Examination at the end of their first 18 months of education and a Clinical Performance Examination at the end of their third year. This particular examination is a standardized patient performance examination given by all eight California medical schools. It assesses skills in history taking, physical examination, physician patient interaction, and information sharing.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of training for a list of topics considered essential elements of the curriculum. Graduates who have been in the community for five years are also asked to evaluate the topics in the curriculum for the importance to practice and for the level of preparation provided by the School. Faculty to assess these data and make changes and improvements based on these evaluations. Reflecting student and graduate analysis into the curriculum keeps education relevant to practice requirements. A notable change in the curriculum that occurred based on evolving dental practice and these evaluations was the inclusion in 2009 of the implant curriculum. Implants are now an experience successfully completed by every dental student prior to graduation. A significant external measure for the success of the curriculum is student performance on both written national boards and clinical licensure examinations. These examinations are national or regional tests created to evaluate the competence of beginning dentists. The high success rates for UCSF students indicates the extent to which graduates possess the knowledge and skills deemed appropriate by the community for the competent graduating dentist.

| Students evaluate every course using an anonymous on-line system and review their educational experience upon graduation by completion of the Association of American Medical Colleges Graduation Questionnaire which is completed by medical students throughout the United States and Canada. Data from these evaluations are used by faculty to assess the success of student learning and to identify needed curricular reform. Additionally, we conduct a survey of those who graduated five years ago to obtain their perception of the curriculum to meet the objectives of the school and the importance of those objectives to their current experiences. Finally, we survey all program directors to obtain their perspective of our graduates during their intern year. This helps us gauge that we have prepared students appropriately for the skills required during internship. |
| Data from these evaluations are used by faculty to assess the success of student learning. Graduates of two relatively new curricular pathways are requested to complete a separate survey regarding their pathway experience and how it might be improved. We also do graduate placement as well as alumni surveys (at intervals) to regarding satisfaction with their educational experiences. |
### ASSESS CULTURAL COMPETENCE IN THE COMPETENCIES AND TEACHER PREPAREDNESS ON THIS TOPIC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CFR: 2.3, 2.4, 2.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School of Dentistry</th>
<th>School of Medicine</th>
<th>School of Nursing</th>
<th>School of Pharmacy</th>
<th>Graduate Division</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>What is the School doing to Prepare Faculty in Cultural Competence?</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two of The School of Dentistry competency statements relate to cultural competence, one requiring professional and ethical behavior toward patients, and a second on effective communication. In order to achieve these competencies, the School has developed cultural competency in-service modules for faculty that include ethnic identities, gender issues, and gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender groups. Faculty members are provided the training during quarter breaks and have been very receptive to increasing their understanding of the complexity of patient care for people of different backgrounds. They have also requested background and training in gender issues and gay, lesbian, bisexual and transgender issues. The training is provided by the two clinical psychologists on the faculty.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Innovations in educating students in cultural competence:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The School of Medicine has a curricular theme related to cultural competence. A roadmap exists of what we teach in this area across the curriculum. We also have a special program called PRIME-Underserved which recruits students into a separate track in the curriculum. However, the presence of PRIME allows cultural competence and health disparities to permeate the curriculum. Some of the objectives in the Clinical Performance Examination specifically address issues of cultural competence including use of a translator and issues around experimentation on patients from minority backgrounds.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>What is the School doing to Prepare Faculty in Cultural Competence?</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Diversity in Action (DIVA) faculty work group, which serves as advisor to the Dean and to the faculty, on issues related to diversity, inclusion, and faculty development; undertook an effort in 2006 to review all course syllabi and to survey all graduates to determine the extent to which diversity is integrated in the curriculum. This exercise yielded rich feedback from the faculty and from the students and has led to a six part faculty development series on “Preparing ourselves for a diverse community”. The six modules concentrate on fostering inclusion and establishing an environment of humility, respect and shared ownership in the classroom, in clinical settings, and in research. Additionally, the SON offers a variety of socio-cultural courses. MEPN students must take a minimum of a 2 unit course in their first year of study; MS students must take a total of 6 units of socio-cultural content before advancement to candidacy. The doctoral curriculum has diverse socio-cultural coursework woven throughout the curriculum – topics include: vulnerable women, violence &amp; health, socio-cultural issues and HIV/AIDS, Race &amp; Class issues in healthcare. Nurse Practitioner and Clinical Nurse Specialist MS students (&gt;2/3 of MS cohort) have clinical experiences in SON faculty practice sites: the Tenderloin in San Francisco (homeless and low income diverse populations); and Valencia Family Clinic (varied immigrant and low income Hispanic ancestry populations).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>What is the School doing to Prepare Faculty in Cultural Competence?</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No program is in place at this time.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Innovations in educating students in cultural competence:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cultural competence in the provision of pharmaceutical care,</strong> which had been an elective course, has now been incorporated into the core doctorate of pharmacy curriculum. Cultural competence, health care disparities, and related topics are integrated into the didactic courses and applied by students in the experiential core curriculum. The outline of the elective course in cultural competency has been published electronically as “Cultural Competency in Pharmaceutical Care Delivery - A Training Template for a One-Day Pharmacy Student Elective Course”, available at <a href="http://www.futurehealth.ucsf.edu/pdf_files/Assemi_Cullander%202003%20Curricula-final.pdf">http://www.futurehealth.ucsf.edu/pdf_files/Assemi_Cullander%202003%20Curricula-final.pdf</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>What is the School doing to Prepare Faculty in Cultural Competence?</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not applicable to the Graduate Division. If this is done, it would be through the School or Department.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Adoption of technology and different teaching strategies are occurring in the School of Dentistry. The curriculum revision that was inaugurated four years ago in July 2004 required web-supported courses, interdepartmental collaboration, and major adjustments to previous teaching strategies such as published syllabi. The first movement into web-supported instruction was strongly supported by students and faculty who thought they could not make these changes were pressured to do so by students, and they did. The initial changes were a great step forward and now more sophisticated technological enhancements to the curriculum are required. The Associate Dean for Education has conducted extensive interviews with faculty course directors. The biggest reported barriers to adoption of new teaching techniques include:

- Lack of time to learn new technology
- Resources to purchase programs, scan kodachrome slides, create movie clips
- Comfort with the lecturing format
- Discomfort with noise and commotion associated with group activities
- Fear that students will not attend class
- Inability to recognize that

The primary barriers to adapting are time, money and space. There is the natural inertia toward change since there may not be compelling reasons. Our faculty are supported by their departments whose priorities may be for research funding and patient care and not on the academic mission. We have been restricted in innovation due to our lack of space which will be improved with the opening of the new learning space in the library.

As mentioned by the other schools on campus in some form or another, the primary barriers to adapting contemporary teaching strategies are time, money, and technical support. While there is some worry learning new teaching strategies will take more time and need new skill sets; a Master’s Curriculum Revision Task Force has been meeting for a year to revise the MS curriculum. Not only is the Task Force re-developing the curriculum, but attention is being paid to introducing new teaching strategies to faculty, to engage both high and low context learners in the classroom.

Essentially the same as those identified by the School of Medicine, with one addition – the lack of large classrooms on the same level.

The primary barriers to adapting are time, money and space. This is mediated by the individual school in which the faculty member has his/her appointment; in the University of California no faculty hold direct appointments in the Graduate Division.
students learn differently in the technologically enhanced environment
- Worry that new strategies will take more time
- I walked seven miles through the snow…
## ANALYSIS OF COURSE OFFERINGS: CATALOGUE OF TEACHING STRATEGIES AND RESOURCES BEYOND TEXT BOOKS

**CFR: 2.3, 2.5**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategy</th>
<th>School of Dentistry</th>
<th>School of Medicine</th>
<th>School of Nursing</th>
<th>School of Pharmacy</th>
<th>Graduate Division</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Online courses</strong></td>
<td>Every course in the predoctoral curriculum has an on-line component; however, no course is presented entirely on-line without faculty and student interaction.</td>
<td>Every course in the School of Medicine curriculum has an on-line component; however, no course is presented entirely on-line without faculty and student interaction.</td>
<td>Online courses are available for Family Theory, Nutrition, and several courses in the Midwifery specialty. All courses included student-faculty interaction.</td>
<td>Nearly every course in the doctorate of pharmacy curriculum has an on-line component, however, no course is presented entirely on-line without faculty and student interaction.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Web-based modules</strong></td>
<td>Each faculty course director places lecture materials and other resources on the electronic course platform.</td>
<td>Each faculty course director places lecture materials and other resources on the electronic course platform. The curriculum is also rich with Independent Learning Modules.</td>
<td>Many faculty use web-based modules and other independent study modules as foundational or adjunctive content to a course. For example, in Physiology of Pregnancy, students complete 10 modules on-line at their own pace, participate in 3 group sessions with faculty to discuss questions/issues/concerns, over the 10 wks.</td>
<td>Many course directors place lecture materials and other resources on the electronic course platform.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Online support of courses</strong></td>
<td>The on-line course format is currently WebCT. Each course is expected to utilize the functions available including the on-line syllabus, calendar, email, and many use the discussion tools along with posting course materials.</td>
<td>The Office of Educational Technology provides support to faculty and students for the online curriculum.</td>
<td>Support to students and faculty during on-line course development and implementation is provided by both library staff (WebCT, Moodle) and the SON Educational Technologist. Students are provided with technology requirements for the SON during orientation. If students have difficulty with compatibility or other technical issues, IT staff in the Dean’s Office can assist.</td>
<td>Courses on WebCT have varying levels of course support, from on-line grade reporting to a copy of the course syllabus, discussion tools, readings, etc. Courses on CLE use a larger array of course tools.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Use of information resources</strong></td>
<td>Library resources are used extensively in the courses. Beginning students receive a structured curriculum in information retrieval and management skills. The School is supported by a liaison librarian.</td>
<td>Library resources are used extensively in the courses.</td>
<td>Students and faculty use the services of campus librarians and the campus libraries extensively. Librarians provide structured instruction for first year MS students on literature searches, retrieval, &amp; management. Doctoral students receive similar information periodically throughout their course of study. The school is supported by a librarian liaison.</td>
<td>Library resources are used extensively in all courses.</td>
<td>Library resources are used extensively in some courses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role playing</td>
<td>Role playing is used in clinical training so that beginning students experience what the patient feels and does. This includes interviewing and examination skills, and some reversible dental procedures.</td>
<td>Role playing is used in clinical training which begins in the first year of the School of Medicine Curriculum. Through role-plays students experience what patients feel and do. Role playing is done for interviewing and examination skills.</td>
<td>Role playing is not currently used in the SOP curriculum.</td>
<td>Not applicable.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standardized patients</td>
<td>Not used at this time.</td>
<td>Standardized patients are used extensively in the School of Medicine. In the first two years the students interact with standardized patients including some whom they meet repeatedly as their health needs evolve. Our clinical skills center is used for a number of teaching and evaluation activities with standardized patients.</td>
<td>Advanced Assessment courses, adults.</td>
<td>Not used at this time</td>
<td>Not applicable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small group teaching</td>
<td>Small group teaching is used in some courses to augment lecture material. This is most often in the form of discussion among groups in the classroom setting.</td>
<td>Small group teaching is a critical part of the School of Medicine curriculum and students are required to participate in the small group components. The School of Medicine believes that small group participation is fundamental since collaboration is part of the practice of medicine. There are different kinds of small groups throughout the curriculum. One example is our small group curriculum in Team Communication and Leadership Skills. Courses use small group teaching to augment content provided in larger lecture courses. Small groups may be created by splitting students into group by specialty, by past experiences with topic, etc. Small group work and teaching is used throughout the MS and PhD curriculum.</td>
<td>Small group teaching is used in some courses to augment lecture material. This is most often in the form of discussion among groups in the classroom setting.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical teaching</td>
<td>Clinical instruction is provided extensively in the dental clinics, both on Parnassus Avenue and the externship sites. Students have close interaction with faculty during clinic sessions with a ratio of 1:8, faculty to student. During these sessions they provide comprehensive care to their assigned patients and are assessed on patient interaction, skill, and professionalism. Clinical education commences with the first week of medical school. Clinical responsibility is progressive across the four years of medical school. Clinical instruction is provided extensively in our primary teaching hospitals, clinics and in practice sites. During these sessions students are part of the health care team and in some cases focus on their own assigned patients. All competencies are evaluated in these experiences. All Clinical Nurse Specialist, Midwifery, and Nurse Practitioner students experience a minimum of 520 hours of clinical instruction in the MS curriculum. Specific specialty tracks in the MS curriculum require more hours, such as Midwifery. Clinical instruction begins for all student pharmacists after the Winter quarter of their 3rd Year, and is provided at hospitals, clinics, and community pharmacies at seven sites throughout California. Students use their clinical knowledge and skills to manage patients with acute and chronic diseases, work collaboratively and actively on health care teams to provide cost-effective care, and provide medication consultation and education on drug-related issues to patients, their families, and caregivers. Not applicable.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lecture</td>
<td>Lecture is still used to a large extent in the didactic curriculum. Lecture has four hours in the day during the first 18 months of which half must be active learning. Therefore lecture is about 2 hours a day of our curriculum. There are lecture sessions in the clerkship curriculum. Lecture is still used and is a stronghold in the curriculum. Lecture is still used to a large extent in the didactic curriculum. Lecture is still used to a large extent in the didactic curriculum. Lecture is still used to a large extent in the didactic curriculum.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Simulation | Simulation is the teaching style best suited to the technique laboratories where students learn dental surgical skills. This is used extensively in the School of Dentistry and is enhanced with technology that provides for individualized demonstration. Simulation is used in skills lab for the pre-licensure nursing students; few faculty are able to use simulation at this time. Simulation is not yet utilized for instruction. Simulation, beyond the standardized patient opportunities, is part of the student learning activities. The first day of medical school is a simulation of a trauma patient whom the students follow throughout the year. Students early in medical school participate in a
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Learner-centered activities</th>
<th>Learner-centered activities are used to augment lecture courses. The use of small group discussions, panels, and student presentations enhance the learning activities.</th>
<th>UCSF unlike many medical schools has electives in the first 18 months of the curriculum as well as in the clerkship curriculum. The electives allow students to explore areas that match their specific interests.</th>
<th>Learner-centered activities are used to augment many lecture courses. The use of small group discussions, panels, and student presentations enhance the learning activities.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Problem-based learning</td>
<td>This is not used. A series of PBL cases are part of the curriculum in the first two years in the School of Medicine Foundations of Patient Care curriculum.</td>
<td>Case-based and problem-based learning methods are presented and used as learning adjuncts in clinical seminars and lecture sessions.</td>
<td>Not used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Journal articles and text chapters that can be linked to electronic courses A journal club is included as part of the first 18 months of curriculum introducing students to the medical literature.</td>
<td>Journal-writing; clinical videos; reading of fictional and non-fictional mass market literature.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>