Josh called the meeting to order at 5:50 pm

Michael finished reviewing the fund analysis and explaining the difference between temporary and permanent budget and what the projected fund balances were for both.

Much discussion ensued around the fact that the permanent budget is projected to be $144,000 in deficit at the end of fiscal year 2013-14. The projection did not assume any Student Service Fee increase, but did account for benefit cost, UCRP (retirement) employer contribution and salary increases as well as deducting the return-to-aid component as mandated by UCOP beginning FY 2011-12. It was acknowledged that even though there was a healthy balance on the temporary side, the fund couldn’t sustain itself as it is now, especially in light of further cost increases in the out years. Basically, without a change in uses or sources for the fund, Student Service Fees would have to increasingly fund escalating benefit and salary costs and have less to fund programs.

The Committee grappled with how to approach the issue this year versus next. The goal is to send a clear and strong message about cutting costs, eliminating redundancies in programming and collaborating more between units while and not relying on Student Service Fees to fill gaps in overall program funding without seeking other sources first.

There is an overarching principle that the Committee feels there are services the campus says it provides to students that are strong incentives when recruiting students and positive public relations messages. In reality, as a result of multiple budget cuts to the campus, students are asked more and more to pay for the services with their fees.

Suggestions were made to cut some units, funding permanently next year but fund temporarily with the understanding that the funding may go away in 2013-14. The idea behind this approach is to send a strong message to units that the SSF fund can’t continue to fund as in the past. This approach would target particular units. Also the idea was suggested to restructure funding that was provided to particular units – change the intended use of the funds.

Another idea was to have a target percentage that the fund overall needed to be reduced by the end of 2012-13 then allow units to work together to figure out a way to reach the target in the best way for the units while still maximizing the services they can provide to students.

Brooke and Christine voiced the desire to keep in mind what services are most impactful for students and help UCSF recruit the best and brightest students.

The Committee intends to memorialize these concepts and concerns in the recommendation letter to the Chancellor.
The Committee began looking at individual requests and formulating preliminary recommendations. These will be voted on as a final recommendation at the last meeting. The recommendation letter will be drafted and sent to the Committee for editing and final approval.

Council on Student Fees is not raising the UCSF contribution for FY 2011-12 and is remaining fairly silent about committing to an amount UCSF should pay in the future, so the committee is letting the issue rest for this year and will revisit again next year when there is a new CSF committee to talk with about the issue.

ASUCSF had pursued a permanent increase of $15,000 for 2012-13. The Committee agreed to fund temporarily with the understanding that ASUCSF would pursue a referendum to increase fees next year to cover the $15,000 need.

The Committee would like to see Student Health and Counseling partner with Wellness in devising a plan to better utilize the dietician.

Discussion will continue at the March 23rd meeting to begin at 5:15 with dinner and discussion beginning at 5:30 sharp.

**Voting:** None at this meeting

**Adjournment:** The meeting was adjourned at 7:45PM.