Gail Mametsuka and Leeanne Jensen presented for Recreation and Wellness
Discussion Summary: $10,850 permanent request
Gail explained the request for $10,850 is to develop student specific programs and guarantee access to other programs offered as part of Living Well at UCSF that are currently only funded for faculty and staff through Be Smart About Safety funding from UCOP. Tracy clarified that the funding for Living Well at UCSF came from Worker’s Compensation rebates so the funds are restricted for use by only faculty and staff.

Jason asked why $10,850 would guarantee access for students and how did you come up with that amount. Leanne responded that it was partially derived from student utilization of wellness programs and to cover costs of new student only programs.

Don asked if they had other revenue sources and Tracey’s response was that Wellness programming for students did not. The Fitness Center has membership fees as its primary source of income and much of the Recreation programs (back packing trips, etc.) are fee based. The goal is to keep the fees for students low so they can participate.

Adele Anfinson and Dr. Susan Rosen presented for Student Health and Counseling
Discussion Summary: $76,543 permanent salary and benefits for 70% dietician and $3,680 temporarily for program expenses
Adele noted that SHC had to take a $40,000 budget cut in the current fiscal year. They have addressed this by holding a 0.60 FTE provider position vacant since April 2011, reducing a 0.20 nurse FTE, and reducing a 0.40 FTE administrative FTE. They have also worked at increasing efficiencies in clinic processes and improvements to schedules and clinical coverage. They have also been exploring various revenue enhancement options including possible new charges for basic in-clinic tests, and changes to the mix of services billed to the UC SHIP program. This may be a requirement systemwide that has been identified as part of a review of all UC campus health centers. Risk management consultants retained by OP have recently performed a review of the Student Health. They identified issues regarding the credentialing and privileging of providers, billing and coding, and the fact that the UCSF health center was not planning to implement what UC was proposing to be the standard system for electronic medical records.

Austin asked if SHC has Nurse Practitioners and Adele replied that yes they did, 1.4 FTE or two individuals.

Becky asked about the new mandate for contraceptives. Adele responded that they can no longer charge a co-pay.

Doug wondered if SHC performs any screening for the dietician services. Adele responded that the need for a referral to this provider comes from the student appointments with the clinical providers.
Josh asked how they determined the 111 unique visits statistic on the table on page 4 of their presentation materials, and how would these be impacted if the Committee did not approve the request for permanent funding? Adele responded that they would have to cut back on the outreach and referrals to the dietician.

There seems to be an overlap between services Occupational Health and SHC provides. Only employees are eligible to receive services through Occupational Health. Occasionally, nurses they are employed by the Medical Center that also happen to be registered students in the School of Nursing may have access to both services. However, Graduate academic students are not technically considered employee even though they may be receiving stipends and are not eligible to use Occupational Health.

A discussion ensued about the ability to share medical records with the Medical Center. Adele mentioned that SHS is currently using the Point and Click system while the Medical Center is on the Epic system. She also mentioned that this issue had been identified as problem as part of the OP study, and that there would likely be a recommendation to the campuses with medical centers on this issue in the future.

Jason asked about the current status on the recruitment for the Executive Director. Dr. Rosen mentioned that there had been some delays regarding approvals for the incumbent to also hold a faculty appointment in the School of Medicine. However, the job was posted in February, and the search committee would be convening soon to review applicant resumes, etc. Dr. Rosen is hoping the position will be filled by the summer.

Internal Medicine

Austin asked for more information about the mental health visits statistics. Dr. Rosen mentioned that the MEPN students have been high utilizers of the SHC mental health services. The Nursing students have traditional been sought these services more so than the other students. This may be related to the demographics of nursing students being older and more aware of the benefits of counseling. Students in the other schools often favor a prescription over multiple counseling visits.

Thomas asked about whether the reduction in staffing Adele mentioned earlier had any impact on clinic wait times? Adele mentioned that they had seen some increases, that they were covering the demand through existing staff, and that this has been a “lite” flu season which has helped them manage the wait times.

Dennis asked Adele to clarify how the dietician is currently being funded. Adele explained that the dietician is funding from temporary funding approved by last year’s Committee and 10% from other SHC revenue sources that cannot be renewed.

Josh asked if there was any overlap between the services provided by Living Well and those provided by the dietician. Adele mentioned that the Living Well provider was not a dietician, and that the Living Well services were mainly oriented to staff.

Don asked if there were any other opportunities for cost savings. Adele mentioned that they continue to look at new options for cost saving, but that she was concerned about potentially new assessments coming from OP as part of the systemwide review mentioned earlier.

Voting: None at this meeting

Adjournment: The meeting was adjourned at 7:40 PM.