

**University of California San Francisco
CHANCELLOR'S STUDENT SERVICES FEE ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

Meeting Minutes
January 27, 2020

Members Present: Janelle Charles (Chair), Max Ladow, Jack Stevenson, Elizabeth Tinoco, Gina Ahmadyar, Kendall Kehr, Jeanny An, Le Wen Chiu, Kacey Berry

Ex-Officio Members Present: Sauna Strong

Staff Present: Jennifer Rosko, Matthew Tout, Taylor Mayfield, Alece Alderson

Absent: Sharon Youmans, Lisa Raskulinec, Hira Safdar, Amy Guo, Leena Dolle, Merva Razzak, Rachel Tenney

Janelle opened the meeting and everyone introduced themselves. The committee then reviewed and approved the meeting minutes. Jennifer went over the schedule of presentations by departments.

Matthew briefly went over the budgets of each of the control points noting that allocations were in line with what was expected. Family services absorbed some cost of the annual contract increase for years going out. Fitness and Recreation is also waiting on the referendum as it has a major impact on their budget.

The previous committee was in favor in putting the Bakar access fee to referendum as this type of budgeting and removing it from the SSF as the SSF budget is not sustainable and cuts will eventually need to be made. The referendum proposes increasing the Campus Community Access Fee by \$42 per student per year for all students. If the referendum fails and the SSF does not fund the Bakar access fee, student who wish to access Bakar will pay \$30 per person per month.

Q: Some committee members asked what it would take to get enough students to vote in order to pass the referendum?

A: Spreading the word on why this should be fixed and obtaining support from fellow students. If this is left ignored, other cuts will have to be made.

Presentation by units

Family Services

Caroline from Family Services presented. They are requesting the same amount of \$11,415.53 from the previous year. A survey was conducted based on feedback from last year's committee with concerns that not enough students were utilizing Sittercity. 263 students responded as student parents or adult dependent caregivers. Of student parents, 48% didn't use Sittercity and 35% never knew about it. More outreach is needed in order to promote this service. Another solution is funding a type of backup care that is currently afforded to faculty and residents. However, this option would cost at least \$100K a year with the minimum cost.

Q: Of the 84 jobs posted, how are we able to tell how many of these postings were filled?

A: We don't have that data.

Q: How do you anticipate the increase of usage from outreach?

A: It's hard to determine if everyone knew about it, whether they would still use it instead of other alternatives. Also, most students determined they needed help paying for these services not finding them.

Fitness & Recreation

Steve, Gail, Kirk and Evan from Fit/Rec presented on the various programs that are offered. Kirk explained the various activities Outdoor Programs offers with many of them relating to a rec pass which costs 76 dollars to help fund a portion of the budget.

Q: How much of the total budget for Outdoor Programs is obtained through the rec pass?

A: The rec pass is a very small part of the budget, something like 25%.

Evan presented on campus recreation, and specifically rec league sports/equipment rentals that are offered.

Steve then present on Bakar Fitness Center access. He covered the history of the last referendum and why it had difficulty passing. The last referendum held in 2005 didn't pass because students were tired of fee increases and there was a general lack of passion to fix the issue. Also, hardly any students lived down in Mission Bay at that time, but now that is not the case. If a referendum were to occur this year it would happen in April with several months of work leading up to that point.

Q: It was asked why the new CCCF would be \$16.50 a month instead of the \$3.50 proposed by Fit/Rec?

A: The \$3.50 is just for Bakar, the existing \$13 is for Millberry Union.

Student Health & Counseling Services

Adele gave an overview of the extensive data they collect for student patient visits while explaining the shift to more services provided online and presence of telehealth options. Primary care visits have decreased due to some transfer to nurse visits versus doctors. She then went into the complete breakdown for visits by type and by students by program. Primary care satisfaction continues to be high in the 90th percentile.

The 89K allocation for student mental health is now a permanent state allocation going forward with SSF funds not being used in the future. Ongoing satisfaction results via survey will continue to be a focus of how to continue and bolster these services.

Recommendations by the Committee

Fitness & Recreation

Making cuts to the Fit Rec operations to make up the difference if SSF did not cover the \$97K Bakar access fee would be difficult as this would negatively impact paying members as people already leave when fees go up or something has been taken away like free parking.

The majority of the committee felt that this fee is in the wrong place and the referendum is a chance to correct it. In order for this to pass, 20% participation is required. However, the Chancellor can be petitioned to lower this threshold.

The committee voted with unanimous approval in favor of removing the \$97K Bakar access from the SSF and recommends Fit Rec run a referendum to make up the difference.

Family Services

Sittercity seems to be underutilized by students due to several factors. One reason could be that finding a care giver isn't the problem, it's paying for one. One solution could be to put these limited funds aside and use it for something else. However, \$11k isn't actually going to go that far in helping students who need it.

Collecting the data for total student parents is a difficult task, especially given that only 263 students responded. It's believed that about 13-15% of graduate professional students are student parents or adult caregivers, but the ages of children could vary to a point where caregivers aren't necessary.

The committee thought that the question of whether one is a student parent or adult caregiver should be asked again with more awareness to better determine the size of the population, while realizing that some people won't necessarily answer this out of privacy concerns.

The committee wants to find some other use for the \$11k that current supports Sittercity as it was originally selected because any student could access it, whether a parent/caregiver or not. However, the committee feels like a more beneficial solution is needed that helps the ones that need it the most.

Q: The question was asked if were possible to offer \$50K for backup care and not \$100K to see what that could provide at the minimum?

A: Yes, that can be asked of Family Services.

Student Health & Counseling Services

No issues with funding going forward. The committee was impressed with all of the data points collected by this department and their overall satisfaction scores.

GPSA

Won't need to present on February 10th

OCPD

Won't need to present on February 10th

Wellness & Community

Won't need to present on February 10th

Student Life

Won't need to present on February 10th

Janelle thanked everyone and concluded the meeting at 8pm.

