University of California San Francisco CHANCELLOR'S STUDENT SERVICES FEE ADVISORY COMMITTEE Meeting Minutes February 10, 2020

Members Present: Janelle Charles (Chair), Max Ladow, Jack Stevenson, Elizabeth Tinoco, Gina Ahmadyar, Kendall Kehr, Jeanny An, Le Wen Chiu, Kacey Berry

Ex-Officio Members Present: Sauna Strong

Staff Present: Jennifer Rosko, Matthew Tout, Taylor Mayfield, Alece Alderson

Absent: Sharon Youmans, Lisa Raskulinec, Hira Safdar, Amy Guo, Leena Dolle, Merva Razzak, Rachel Tenney

Janelle Charles opened the meeting and everyone introduced themselves. The minutes from the previous meeting were then approved.

Unit Rec

Family Services

Bright Horizons is the third party childcare provider that UCSF contracts with and a new proposal was given at the request of the committee to replace the Sittercity contract that will run out at the end of the fiscal year. Two options were then presented with the 1st being a \$43,875 annual program fee +\$3,000 one-time implementation fee for backup childcare with 135 total uses, capping at 5 uses per student. The 2nd option is a more expensive agreement with a \$93,925 annual program fee +\$3,000 one-time implementation fee with 289 total uses, but a more robust offering of 10 uses per student. Both options provide a subsidized price of \$10 per hour, with a 4-hour minimum for in-home care, and a center-based cost of \$30 per child per day. This contract would also allow for a 5% increase in cost per year.

Q: Can it be recommended to fund this as a pilot over 3 years?

A: Yes.

Q: What is the penalty if the contract is broken?

A: They would have to look elsewhere to fund the shortfall.

Q: Are the Bright Horizon's proposals something this committee wants to consider?

A: Yes, but perhaps possibly take a look at the less usage model since this is likely a very small subset of students and as a pilot the cheaper option is a better starting point.

Jennifer and Matthew then went over the budgets for all the units with updated totals with the Mission Bay gym referendum taken into account. Of note, after removing the \$97,333 for Bakar access from Fitness & Rec allocation there is a projected net increase in reserves of \$65K during FY20-21.

Q: Is there a concern for other units to ask for increase in funds for next year?

A: Most units have come to the realization there isn't any new money.

Q: Is the \$11k allocated to Family Services part of Sittercity?

A: Yes.

Q: Would it be better to partially fund Family Services for backup childcare or fully?

A: It would be more helpful to have them focus on implementing the program instead of having them worry about funding down the line.

Q: Is it possible for the contract to convert to a higher tier if this service ends up being uses more than expected?

A: This will be explored as an option.

Q: Is there a way to track usage for Bright Horizons?

A: Yes.

The committee decided to go with the lower tier with the exploration of increasing based on usage/need.

Q: Could the committee do a partial funding option with a rideshare type of option for student parents included?

A: This would be a big liability issue for the university.

The referral service will continue to be funded and the committee wanted as much data as possible from Bright Horizons like unique versus total usage, etc. Also, the committee is asking units to look for more funding opportunities if they arise.

All other unit's budgets were approved. The \$9k previously requested for Sittercity will be taken out and re-appropriated. It was recommended that all units come with as much data as possible for next year like more detail on marketing campaigns and examples of the results, such as event survey's after every event. The committee will explore options for a coffee hour to bring awareness for the desire to vote to pass the gym referendum.

Janelle concluded the meeting at 6:24pm and thanked everyone.